

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,140	11/26/2001	Kenji Nakano	7217/65967	8592
530 7590 03/12/2007 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			EXAMINER	
			JONES, HEATHER RAE	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
··· — 2 ,		•	2621	
	······································			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	03/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/994,140	NAKANO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Heather R. Jones	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 January 2007</u> .						
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
, —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7 and 9-12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7 and 9-12 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	-				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2001 and Examiner.		cepted or b)  objected to by the				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 9-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inanaga et al. (U.S. Patent 5,796,843).

Regarding claim 1, Inanaga et al. discloses an audio and video reproduction apparatus, comprising: a head-mounted display (193) for converting a video signal into an image to present to a user (col. 36, lines 64-67); a pair of acoustic transducers (24) each used for converting an audio signal into a sound to present to the user (col. 19, lines 11-12); detection means (63) for detecting an orientation of the head of the user (col. 23, lines 24-38); image-changing means provided a video signal representing an image stretched over a wider range than a visual-field range visible to the user via the head-mounted display, the image-changing means for extracting a video signal representing an image stretched

over the visual-field range visible to the user via the head-mounted display in accordance with the detected orientation of the head of the user and for supplying the extracted video signal to the head-mounted display (col. 38, lines 10-19); and sound-image localization processing means for performing out-of-head sound-image localization processing based on transfer functions from a sound-image localized position of a provided audio signal to ears of the user in accordance with the detected orientation of the head of the user to produce a two-channel audio signal and for supplying the two-channel audio signal to the acoustic transducers (col. 37, line 66 – col. 38, line 10).

Regarding claim 2, Inanaga et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the pair of acoustic transducers are one of headphones mounted on the head of the user and a pair of earphones attached to ears of the user (col. 24, line 58 – col. 25, line 4).

Regarding claim 3, Inanaga et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the pair of acoustic transducers are speakers provided at positions close to the ears of the user (col. 24, line 58 – col. 25, line 4).

Regarding claim **4**, Inanaga et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the detection means comprises a sensor mounted on the head of the user and a conversion unit for converting a detection signal generated by the sensor into a signal representing the orientation of the head of the user (col. **4**, line 57 – col. **5**, line **20**).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim **6**, Inanaga et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the image-changing means is a cut-out circuit for extracting a video signal representing an image stretched over a visual-field range of the user from a video signal representing an image stretched over a 360-degree surrounding the user in accordance with the orientation of the head of the user (Fig. 20 – the user can rotate 360 degrees; col. 4, line 57 – col. 5, line 20).

Regarding claim 7, Inanaga et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the image-changing means is a video synthesis circuit for synthesizing video signals representing images stretched over a visual-field range visible to the user via the head-mounted display in accordance with the orientation of the head of the user (col. 4, line 57 – col. 5, line 20).

Regarding claim **9**, Inanaga et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the sound-image localization processing means converts an audio signal representing a sound covering a 360-degree range surrounding the user into an audio signal that is supplied to the pair of acoustic transducers as a reproduction signal as if the reproduced sound image were localized outside the head of the user (col. 4, line 57 – col. 5, line 20).

Regarding claim **10**, Inanaga et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the video signal

Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

supplied to the head-mounted display and the audio signals supplied to the acoustic transducers are reproduced from a recording medium (col. 16, line 59 col. 17, line 16).

Regarding claim 11, Inanaga et al. discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the video signal supplied to the head-mounted display and the audio signals supplied to the acoustic transducers are received from a network in real time (col. 16, line 59 col. 17, line 16).

Regarding claim 12, Inanaga et al. discloses an audio and video reproduction apparatus, comprising: a head-mounted display (193) that converts a video signal into an image to present to a user (col. 36, lines 64-67); a pair of acoustic transducers (24) that converts an audio signal into a sound to present to the user (col. 19, lines 11-12); magnetic or gyroscopic head orientation detector that determines changing orientation of the user; image-changing processor configured to receive a video signal representing an image of a wider range than a visual-field range visible to the user with the head-mounted display and to extract a video signal representing an image of a visual-field range visible to the user of the head-mounted display as a function of the changing orientation of the head of the user as detected by the head orientation detector and to provide the extracted video signal for presenting to the user by the head-mounted display (col. 20, lines 33-45; col. 23, lines 24-38; col. 38, lines 10-19); and sound-image localization processor circuit configured to perform out-of-head localization

Application/Control Number: 09/994,140

Art Unit: 2621

processing to control a change in a sound image localization position of a provided audio signal by filtering the audio signal to produce a two-channel audio signal as a function of the changing orientation of the head of the user as detected by the head orientation detector (col. 37, line 66 – col. 38, line 10).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather R. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-7368. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs.: 7:00 am - 4:30 pm, and every other Fri.: 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 09/994,140

Art Unit: 2621

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heather R Jones Examiner Art Unit 2621

HRJ February 21, 2007

A CALENTER 2800